

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,540	07/01/2004	Mika Ryukawa	36889	6411
116 7590 02/20/2007 PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET			EXAMINER NANO, SARGON N	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/500,540	RYUKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sargon N. Nano	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u></u>					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .					
; <del>_</del>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 - 5 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 - 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed acco	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 17/07/07	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to application filed on July 1, 2004. Claims 1-5 are pending examination.

## Claim Objections

Claim 5, is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer in the alternative and/or cannot depend from other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "when controlling portion detects" that the controlling portion detects", the claim language is not clear. In addition the claim recites the limitation "exceeds a number" that is also indefinite. Moreover the claim recites the limitation "the controlling portion outputs a signal indicating the same". It is not clear what is being output. Clarification is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2157

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heinonen et al. U. S. Patent No. 7,123,878 (referred to hereafter as Heinonen).
Heinonen teaches an apparatus, method and system for connectivity tool in a Bluetooth devices (see abstract).

As to claim 1, Heinonen teaches a device capable of performing radio communication with a plurality of other devices, comprising:

a radio portion for holding short-distance radio communication with the other devices (see col. 2 lines 36 – 52, Heinonen discloses a system where a device sends a signal to establish communication connection with other devices using Bluetooth technology); and

a controlling portion for executing a communication controlling process containing a connecting process to the other devices, wherein, when the controlling portion detects that the controlling portion detects that responses from the other devices exceeds a number within which the device is able to process normally the responses, the controlling portion outputs a signal indicating the same (see col.8 lines 5 – 37, Heinonen, discloses a user detecting responses from other devices on a network and outputs a signal on users device allowing the user to create a connection with a specific device that is in range).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 2, Heinonen teaches the device according to claim 1, further comprising:

a storing portion for storing device information data of the other devices that held communication with the device in the past, wherein the controlling portion executes the connecting process by utilizing the device information data (see col. 2 lines 13 - 35).

As to claim 3, Heinonen teaches the device according to claim 2, wherein the device information data include a nickname (see col. 14 lines 35 – 50).

As to claim 4, Heinonen teaches the device according to claim 2 or 3, wherein the device information data include a precedence (see col. 12 lines 21 - 43).

AS to claim 5, Heinonen teaches the device according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the short-distance radio communication is executed based on Bluetooth (see col.11 lines 28 – 38).

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sargon N. Nano whose telephone number is (571) 272-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sargon Nano Feb. 2, 2007

ARIO ETIENNE

10 2019 DATENT EXAMINE

20 V CENTER 2100

Page 5